MOLYBDENUM CARBENE COMPLEXES: CYCLOPROPANATION OF ELECTRON-POOR OLEFINS

Daniel F. Harvey* and Matthew F. Brown Department of Chemistry, D-006 University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

Summary: Fischer carbene complexes of molybdenum have been prepared and found to readily cyclopropanate electron-poor olefins in good yield.

Since their initial preparation in the 1960's, Fischer carbene complexes of chromium and tungsten have been found to undergo a variety of synthetically useful transformations.¹ In contrast, the analogous Fischer carbene complexes of molybdenum have been relatively unexplored.² Herein we report our initial observations on the synthesis and reactivity of alkylmethoxycarbene complexes of molybdenum.

In 1967, Fischer and Maasböl reported the synthesis of the first molybdenum carbene complexes.^{2a} Their method of preparation involved the addition of methyl- or phenyllithium to $Mo(CO)_6$ and isolation of the addition product as the tetramethylammonium salt 1. Acidification and treatment with diazomethane gave molybdenum carbene complexes 2a and 2b.



We have applied a simpler and more direct one-pot procedure that leads to the desired molybdenum carbene complexes in reasonable yield. Addition of n-BuLi to $Mo(CO)_6$ followed by direct methylation via addition of FSO₂OMe or CF₃SO₂OMe produced molybdenum carbene complex 2c in 54% or 56% yield, respectively.³ This procedure was found to be simpler and more reproducible than methods previously reported for the preparation of molybdenum carbene complexes² and methods for the preparation of chromium and tungsten Fischer carbene complexes.⁴

Molybdenum complexes 2a and 2b have been observed to rapidly decompose.^{2a,d} Indeed, we have found that carbene 2a is not sufficiently stable to readily survive purification. However, the analogous n-butylmethoxymolybdenum carbene complex 2c was found to be considerably more stable than methylmethoxycarbene complex 2a. We have prepared several other alkylmethoxycarbene complexes of molybdenum and found that the majority of them are relatively stable. Carbene complex 2c can be handled in the same fashion as the analogous chromium carbene complex.

Fischer and Dötz have described the preparation of substituted cyclopropanes by treatment of molybdenum, chromium and tungsten arylmethoxycarbene complexes **3a**, **3b** and **3c** with electron-rich and electron-poor olefins.^{2b,c,5} They reported several examples of cyclopropanations using chromium and tungsten complexes but only two examples of cyclopropanations with molybdenum carbene complex **3c**. Since then, there have been several reports of cyclopropane formation using chromium and tungsten carbene complexes.⁶ The absence of additional examples of the utilization of molybdenum carbene complexes in this type of transformation is presumably due to the relative instability of the molybdenum based system. Because of our interest in using Fischer carbene complexes to prepare polycarbocyclic arrays,⁷ we sought to determine whether this cyclopropanation process was viable with alkylmethoxymolybdenum carbene complexes.



Mild thermolysis of n-butylmethoxymolybdenum carbene complex 2c in the presence of a variety of electron poor olefins was found to produce substituted cyclopropanes 4a-l in good yield. The conditions necessary to effect cyclopropanation with 2c were found to be considerably milder than those necessary for the corresponding chromium and tungsten complexes (see table). In the molybdenum case, cyclopropanation occurs slowly even at room temperature. At 65°C in THF, the process is complete in 1h or less. Cyclopropanation of vinyl phosphonate 5 was done in toluene because of the low solubility of 5 in THF. As with the chromium and tungsten carbene complexes, mixtures of cyclopropane isomers were produced. These were readily separable by silica gel chromatography. By comparison to previous studies, 2b,c,5 the major cyclopropane isomer appears to

(CO) ₅ M — OMe CN (14 eq)	n-Bu 4 a ≟ ⊙Me			
Conditions	4a	4 b	Recovered Carbene	
M = Mo; THF, RT, 62h	35%	27%	5%	
M = Mo; THF, 65°C, 1h	32%	23%	0%	
M = Cr; toluene, 80°C, 2.5h	26%	24%	0%	
M = W; toluene, 110ºC, 5h	39%	30%	5%	

have the methoxy substituent anti to the electron withdrawing group. Cyclopropanation of methyl methacrylate gave 4i and 4j and varying amounts of a cyclopropane hydrolysis product.

Studies of the application of molybdenum carbene complexes to the synthesis of more complex organic frameworks are currently in progress.



Acknowledgement: Support from the Cancer Research Coordinating Committee of the University of California, the Petroleum Research Fund of the American Chemical Society, the American Cancer Society (Junior Faculty Research Award to DFH) and the National Institutes of Health (GM41984-01) is gratefully acknowledged.

Representative Experimental Procedures:

Synthesis of molybdenum carbene complex 2c. To a suspension of $Mo(CO)_6$ (530 mg, 2.0 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) at 0°C was added n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 1.25 mL, 2.0 mmol). After stirring until all of the solid $Mo(CO)_6$ had disappeared (solution turns reddish-orange), methyl triflate (0.45 mL, 4.0 mmol) was slowly added. After an additional 5 min at 0°C, the reaction mixture was treated with saturated NaHCO₃ soln. and extracted with hexanes. The combined organics were dried (MgSO₄) and purified by silica gel chromatography to give 379 mg of 2c (56%).

Representative example of formation of cyclopropane from 2c.⁸ Methyl acrylate (1.20 mL, 13.1 mmol) was added to a solution of 2c (442 mg, 1.31 mmol) in THF (15 mL) and warmed to 65°C for 1 h. Concentration *in vacuo* and purification by silica gel chromatography gave 124 mg (51%) of 4a and 67 mg (27%) of 4b.

References

- (a) C.P. Casey, React. Intermed. (Wiley), 1985, 3, 109; (b) K.H. Dötz, Transition Metal Carbene 1. Complexes, Verlag Chemie, 1983, p. 191; (c) W.D. Wulff, P.C. Tang, K.S. Chan, J.S. McCallum, D.C. Yang, S.R. Gilbertson, Tetrahedron, 1985, 41, 5813.
- (a) E.O. Fischer, A. Maasböl, Chem. Ber., 1967, 100, 2445; (b) K.H. Dötz, E.O. Fischer, Chem. Ber., 2. 1972, 105, 1356; (c) E.O. Fischer, K.H. Dötz, Chem. Ber., 1972, 105, 3966; (d) L.S. Hegedus, L.M. Schultze, J. Toro, C. Yijun, Tetrahedron, 1985, 41, 5833; (e) K.J. Juneau, L.S. Hegedus, F.W. Roepke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 4762; (f) M.P. Doyle, J.G. Davidson, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 1538; (g) W.D. Wulff, R.W. Kaesler, G.A. Peterson, P.C. Tang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 1060; (h) W.D. Wulff, D.C. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 6726; (i) R. Aumann, J. Uphoff, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 357; (j) R. Aumann, H. Heinen, Chem. Ber., 1988, 121, 1739; (k) R. Aumann, H. Heinen, Chem. Ber., 1986, 119, 2289.
- 3.
- C.P. Casey, C.R. Cyr, R.A. Boggs, Synthesis in Inorganic and Metal-Organic Chemistry, 1973, 3, 249. (a) J.A. Connor, E.M. Jones, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1971, 3368; (b) M.F. Semmelhack, J.J. Bozell, 4. Tetrahedron Lett., 1982, 23, 2931; (c) R. Aumann, E.O. Fischer, Chem. Ber., 1968, 101, 954.
- (a) E.O. Fischer, K.H. Dötz, Chem. Ber., 1970, 103, 1273. 5.
- 6. (a) For a recent review see: M. Brookhart, W. B. Studabaker, Chem. Rev., 1987, 87, 411.(b) M.D. Cooke, E.O. Fischer, J. Organomet. Chem., 1973, 56, 279; (c) P.F. Korkowski, T.R. Hoye, D.B. Rydberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2676; (d) A. Wienard, H.U. Reissig, Tetrahedron Leit., 1988, 29, 2315; (e) M. Buchert, H.U. Reissig, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 2319; (f) J.W. Herndon, S.U. Turner, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 4771; (g) W.D. Wulff, D.C. Yang, C.K. Murray, Pure and Appl Chem., 1988, 60, 137; (h) B. Dorrer, E.O. Fischer, W. Kalbfus, J. Organomet. Chem, 1974, 81, C20; (i) K.H. Dötz, I. Pruskil, Chem. Ber., 1981, 114, 1980; (j) T.R. Hoye, G.M. Rehberg, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 2070; (k) C. Alvarez, A. Parlier, H. Rudler, R. Yefsah, J.C. Daran, C. Knobler, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 2253; (1) W.D. Wulff, D.C. Yang, C.K. Murray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2653.
- M. F. Brown, K. P. Lund, manuscripts in preparation. 7.
- All new compounds were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, LRMS, and HRMS or analysis. ¹H 8. NMR data (300 MHz, CDCl3) for representative compounds is as follows. 2c: δ 4.68 (s, 3H), δ 3.24 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), δ 1.51-1.43 (m, 2H), δ 1.34 (sextet, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), δ 0.90 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H). 4a: δ 3.26 (s, 3H), δ 2.02-1.98 (m, 1H), δ 1.62-1.36 (m, 7H), δ 1.07 (t, J=6.0 Hz, 1H), δ 0.94 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H). 4b: δ 3.41 (s, 3H), δ 1.81-1.77 (m, 1H), δ 1.46-1.27 (m, 7H), δ 1.09 (dd, J=9.3, 5.7 Hz, 1H), δ 0.90 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). 4c: δ 3.67 (s, 3H), δ 3.25 (s, 3H), δ 1.88-1.74 (m, 2H), δ 1.70-1.67 (m, 1H), δ 1.46-1.26 (m, 5H), δ 1.18 (t, J=6.1 Hz, 1H), δ 0.89 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H). 4d: δ 3.69 (s, 3H), δ 3.24 (s, 3H), δ 1.69-1.63 (m, 3H), δ 1.52-1.32 (m, 5H), δ 0.97-0.93 (m, 1H), δ 0.90 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H). 4e: δ 4.10 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), & 3.23 (s, 3H), & 1.85-1.67 (m, 3H), & 1.45-1.27 (m, 5H), & 1.23 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), δ 1.14 (t, J=6.2 Hz, 1H), δ 0.87 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). 4f: δ 4.18-4.09 (m, 2H), δ 3.22 (s, 3H), δ 1.76-1.70 (m, 1H), δ 1.61-1.60 (m, 2H), δ 1.44-1.32 (m, 5H), δ 1.24 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), δ 0.93-0.91 (m, 1H), δ 0.88 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). 4g: δ 3.70 (d, J=3.4 Hz, 3H), δ 3.67 (d, J=3.3 Hz, 3H), δ 3.20 (s, 3H), δ 2.04-1.99 (m, 1H), δ 1.64-1.24 (m, 6H), δ 1.11-0.96 (m, 2H), δ 0.87 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H). 4h: δ 3.69 (d, J=2.3 Hz, 3H), § 3.66 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 3H), § 3.28 (s, 3H), § 1.81-1.76 (m, 1H), § 1.45-1.22 (m, 6H), δ 0.95-0.86 (m, 2H), δ 0.86 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). 4i: δ 3.69 (s, 3H), δ 3.18 (s, 3H), δ 1.78 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 1H), δ 1.68-1.35 (m, 6H), δ 1.31 (s, 3H), δ 0.92 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), δ 0.47 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 1H). 4j: δ 3.67 (s, 3H), § 3.28 (s, 3H), § 1.75-1.61 (m, 1H), § 1.43-1.25 (m, 9H), § 0.89 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), § 0.81 (d, J=5.9 Hz, 1H). 4k: δ 3.64 (s, 3H), δ 3.17 (s, 3H), δ 1.90 (pentet, J=6.5 Hz, 1H), δ 1.75-1.65 (m, 1H), § 1.46-1.29 (m, 5H), § 1.23 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 1H), § 1.07 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), § 0.89 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H). 41: δ 3.66 (s, 3H), δ 3.30 (s, 3H), δ 1.92-1.83 (m, 1H), δ 1.64-1.24 (m, 6H), δ 1.43 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 1H), δ 1.18 (d, J=6.2 Hz, 3H), δ 0.90 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H).

(Received in USA 6 December 1989; accepted 8 March 1990)